

Merchant & Gould

An Intellectual Property Law Firm

Merchant & Gould P.C.
1950 Independence Plaza
1050 Seventeenth Street
Denver, Colorado 80265-
0100

TEL 303.357.1670
FAX 303.357.1671
www.merchantgould.com

Fax Transmission | November 24, 2008

To: Examiner Aleksandr Kerzhner
Company: United States Patent and
Trademark Office

From: Rene* A. Pereyra

Your Ref: 10/824,068

Our Ref.: 40062.0139USC2

Fax No.: 571-270-2760

Fax No.: 303.357.1671

Phone No.:

Phone No.: 303.357.1670

State/Country:

Total Pages: (11 Including cover)

E-Mail: rpereyra@merchantgould.com

Confirmation Via Mail: ☐ Yes ☐ No

Return Fax To:

Re: Applicant Initiated Interview Request form, Agenda and Draft Amendment

This transmission contains information that is confidential and/or legally privileged. It is intended for use only by the person to whom it is directed. If you have received this telecopy in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the return of the original documents to us.

If you did NOT receive all of the pages, please call us in the U.S.A. at 303.357.1670 or fax us at 303.357.1671.

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/824,066 First Named Applicant: Luis Felipe Cabrera
 Examiner: Aleksandr Kerzhner Art Unit: 2162 Status of Application: Rejected

Tentative Participants:

(1) Examiner Kerzhner (2) Primary Examiner (?)
 (3) Rene A. Pereyra (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: November 25, 2008Proposed Time: 11:00 EST (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☐ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>103(a) Rej.</u>	<u>21-53</u>	<u>Art Mann & Shinkai</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet Attached					

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

See attached agenda

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Rene A. Pereyra

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

Examiner/SPE Signature

45,800
 Registration Number, if applicable

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.131 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing this form, call 1-800-790-6860.

AGENDA FOR INTERVIEW**Serial No. 10/824,068****Docket No. 40062.0139USC2 (MS 128810.03)**

- I. Discuss § 103(b) Claim Rejections
 - A. Discuss Claimed Embodiments
 - B. Discuss Proposed Claim Amendments (see attached)
 - C. Discuss Mann & Shinkai References
 - 1.) References fail to teach an epoch identifier that identifies “a last configuration change made to the logical volume while an associated extent was on line and the epoch identifier being used for determining a configuration status.”
 - 2.) References also fail to teach a selected consistency level that “specifies a level of consistency between the epoch values and the copy epoch values.”

- DRAFT - NOT FOR OFFICIAL ENTRY -

S/N 10/824,068

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Cabrera et al.	Examiner:	Kerzhner, Aleksandr
Serial No.:	10/824,068	Group Art Unit:	2162
Filed:	04/13/2004	Confirmation No.:	7004
		Docket No.:	MS128810.3/40062.139USC2
Title:	VOLUME CONFIGURATION DATA ADMINISTRATION		

DRAFT AMENDMENT
(For Discussion Purposes Only)

1-20. (Canceled)

21. (Currently Amended) A computer-~~storage~~readable medium storing at least one extent of a logical volume having a plurality of extents, the computer-~~storage~~readable medium comprising:

an epoch identifier associated with each at least one extent, the epoch identifier identifying a last configuration change made to the logical volume while an associated extent was on line and the epoch identifier being used for determining a configuration status based on a comparison of the epoch identifier from each extent of the logical volume, wherein the configuration status indicates whether the logical volume can be exposed as on line.

22. (Original) A computer-readable medium as defined in claim 21 wherein the epoch identifier further comprises:
a cluster system identifier.

23. (Original) A computer-readable medium as defined in claim 21 wherein the epoch identifier further comprises:
a logical volume identifier.

Application No. 10/824,068

24. (Original) A computer-readable medium as defined in claim 21 wherein the epoch identifier further comprises:

a cluster system identifier and a logical volume identifier.

25. (Original) A computer-readable medium as defined in claim 21 wherein the computer-readable medium has stored thereon all of the plurality of extents for the logical volume.

26. (Original) A computer-readable medium as defined in claim 21 wherein the epoch identifier comprises:

a number that is incremented upon each change in volume configuration.

27. (Original) A computer-readable medium as defined in claim 21 wherein the epoch identifier comprises:

a timestamp indicating a time a volume configuration was changed.

28. (Original) A computer-readable medium as defined in claim 21 wherein the data structure further comprises:

an extent size;

an extent identifier.

29. (Original) A device comprising:

a computer-readable medium as claimed in claim 21; and

a processor that accesses data stored in the logical volume based on the configuration status of the logical volume determined from the comparison of the epoch identifier from each extent of the logical volume.

30. (Currently Amended) A data storage subsystem comprising:

a first computer-readable medium storing one or more first extents associated with a first logical volume, wherein each first extent includes a first data structure storing an epoch identifier, the epoch identifier identifying a last configuration

Application No. 10/824,068

change made to the first logical volume while an associated one of the first extents was on line and the epoch identifier being used for determining a configuration status of the first logical volume based on a comparison of the epoch identifier from each first extent associated with the first logical volume, wherein the configuration status indicates whether the first logical volume can be exposed as on line; and

a second computer-readable medium storing one or more second extents associated with a copy of the first logical volume, wherein each second extent includes a second data structure storing a[n] copy epoch identifier, the copy epoch identifier identifying a last configuration change made to the copy of the first logical volume while an associated one of the second extents was on line and the copy epoch identifier for determining a configuration status of the copy of the first logical volume based on a comparison of the copy epoch identifier from each second extent associated with the copy of the logical volume.

31. (Original) A data storage subsystem as defined in claim 30 further comprising:

a cluster service component including a third data structure storing a volume epoch identifier.

32. (Original) A data storage subsystem as defined in claim 30, wherein each of the epoch identifiers and the copy epoch identifiers have the same value.

33. (Original) A data storage subsystem as defined in claim 31, wherein the cluster service component may be set by a user to expose the first logical volume as on line if the epoch identifiers of each first extent of the first logical volume are the same as the volume epoch identifier.

34. (Original) A data storage subsystem as defined in claim 31, wherein the cluster service component may be set by a user to expose the first logical volume as on line only if the epoch identifiers of each first extent associated with the first logical volume and the copy

Application No. 10/824,068

epoch identifiers of each second extent of the copy of the first logical volume are the same as the volume epoch identifier.

35. (Original) A data storage subsystem as defined in claim 30, wherein each first data structure includes a cluster system identifier; and each second data structure includes the cluster system identifier.

36. (Original) A data storage subsystem as defined in claim 30, wherein each first data structure includes a first logical volume identifier; and each second data structure includes a second logical volume identifier.

37. (Original) A data storage subsystem as defined in claim 36, wherein the first and second logical volume identifiers are the same.

38. (Currently Amended) A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing a method for exposing a logical volume as on line, the method comprising:

reading an epoch value from each extent of the logical volume, the epoch value identifying a last configuration change made to the logical volume while an associated one of each extent was on line;

reading a copy epoch value from each extent of a mirrored copy of the logical volume, the copy epoch value identifying a last configuration change made to the mirrored copy of the logical volume while an associated one of each extent of the mirrored copy was on line;

comparing the epoch value from each extent of the logical volume and the copy epoch value from each extent of a mirrored copy of the logical volume;

receiving a user selection indicating a selected consistency level; and

determining a configuration status based on the comparison of the epoch value from each extent of the logical volume and the copy epoch value from each extent of a mirrored copy of the logical volume, and the selected consistency level, wherein the configuration status indicates whether the first logical volume can be exposed as on line.

Application No. 10/824,068

39. (Currently Amended) A computer-~~storage~~readable medium as defined in claim 38, wherein determining comprises:

determining a configuration status that exposes the logical volume as on line only when the epoch values and the copy epoch values are equal if the selected consistency level is a first consistency level.

40. (Currently Amended) A computer-~~storage~~readable medium as defined in claim 39, wherein determining comprises:

determining a configuration status that exposes the logical volume as on line when the epoch value of each extent of the logical volume are equal if the selected consistency level is a second consistency level.

41. (Currently Amended) A computer-~~storage~~readable medium as defined in claim 40, wherein determining comprises:

determining the configuration status that exposes the mirrored copy of the logical volume as on line when the copy epoch value of each extent of the mirrored copy of the logical volume are equal if the selected consistency level is the second consistency level.

42. (Currently Amended) A computer-~~storage~~readable medium as defined in claim 38 further comprising:

maintaining a volume epoch value;

comparing the epoch value from each extent of the logical volume, the copy epoch value from each extent of a mirrored copy of the logical volume and the volume epoch value; and

determining a configuration status based on the comparison of the epoch value from each extent of the logical volume, the copy epoch value from each extent of a mirrored copy of the logical volume and the volume epoch value, and the selected consistency level.

Application No. 10/824,068

43. (Currently Amended) A computer-~~storage~~readable medium as defined in claim 42 wherein determining comprises:

determining a configuration status that exposes the logical volume as on line only when the epoch values, the copy epoch values, and the volume epoch value are all equal if the selected consistency level is a first consistency level.

44. (Currently Amended) A computer-~~storage~~readable medium as defined in claim 42, wherein determining comprises:

determining a configuration status that exposes the logical volume as on line when the epoch value of each extent of the logical volume and the volume epoch value are equal if the selected consistency level is a third consistency level.

45. (Currently Amended) A computer-~~storage~~readable medium as defined in claim 44, wherein determining comprises:

determining the configuration status that exposes the mirrored copy of the logical volume as on line when the copy epoch value of each extent of the mirrored copy of the logical volume and the volume epoch value are equal if the selected consistency level is the third consistency level.

46. (Currently Amended) A computer-implemented method for exposing a logical volume as on line, the method comprising:

reading an epoch value from each extent of the logical volume, the epoch value identifying a last configuration change made to the logical volume while an associated one of each extent was on-line;

reading a copy epoch value from each extent of a mirrored copy of the logical volume, the copy epoch value identifying a last configuration change made to the mirrored copy of the logical volume while an associated one of each extent of the mirrored copy was on line; and

determining a configuration status based on a comparison of the epoch value from each extent of the logical volume, the copy epoch value from each extent of a mirrored copy of the logical volume, and a selected consistency level that specifies a level of

Application No. 10/824,068

consistency between the epoch values and the copy epoch values, wherein the configuration status indicates whether the first logical volume can be exposed as on line.

47. (Original) A computer-implemented method as defined in claim 46, wherein determining comprises:

determining a configuration status that exposes the logical volume as on line only when the epoch values and the copy epoch values are equal if the selected consistency level is a first consistency level.

48. (Original) A computer-implemented method as defined in claim 47, wherein determining comprises:

determining a configuration status that exposes the logical volume as on line when the epoch value of each extent of the logical volume are equal if the selected consistency level is a second consistency level.

49. (Original) A computer-implemented method as defined in claim 48, wherein determining comprises:

determining the configuration status that exposes the mirrored copy of the logical volume as on line when the copy epoch value of each extent of the mirrored copy of the logical volume are equal if the selected consistency level is the second consistency level.

50. (Original) A computer-implemented method as defined in claim 46 further comprising:

maintaining a volume epoch value; and

determining a configuration status based on a comparison of the epoch value from each extent of the logical volume, the copy epoch value from each extent of a mirrored copy of the logical volume, the selected consistency level, and the volume epoch value.

51. (Original) A computer-implemented method as defined in claim 50 wherein determining comprises:

Application No. 10/824,068

determining a configuration status that exposes the logical volume as on line only when the epoch values, the copy epoch values, and the volume epoch value are all equal if the selected consistency level is the first consistency level.

52. (Original) A computer-implemented method as defined in claim 50, wherein determining comprises:

determining a configuration status that exposes the logical volume as on line when the epoch value of each extent of the logical volume and the volume epoch value are equal if the selected consistency level is a third consistency level.

53. (Original) A computer-implemented method as defined in claim 52, wherein determining comprises:

determining the configuration status that exposes the mirrored copy of the logical volume as on line when the copy epoch value of each extent of the mirrored copy of the logical volume and the volume epoch value are equal if the selected consistency level is the third consistency level.